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## Motivating example



$$
t \geq 0
$$


inductivity law:

$$
L \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} i=v
$$

switch dependent: $0=v-u$

$$
0=i
$$

## Motivating example



$$
x=[i, v]^{\top}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
L & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] u
$$

$$
t \geq 0
$$


$x=[i, v]^{\top}$
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}L & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right] x+\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right] u$

## Motivating example

$$
t<0
$$


$E_{1} \dot{x}=A_{1} x+B_{1} u$ on $(-\infty, 0)$

$$
t \geq 0
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{2} \dot{x}=A_{2} x+B_{2} u \\
& \text { on }[0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ switched differential-algebraic equation

## Solution of circuit example

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
t & <0 & t & \geq 0 \\
v & =u & i & =0 \\
L \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} i & =v & v & =L \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} i
\end{array}
$$

Solution (assume constant input $u$ ):


$$
i(t)
$$

## Observations


$t \geq 0$


## Observations

- $x\left(0^{-}\right) \neq 0$ inconsistent for $E_{2} \dot{x}=A_{2} x+B_{2} u$
- unique jump from $x\left(0^{-}\right)$to $x\left(0^{+}\right)$
- derivative of jump $=$ Dirac impulse appears in solution


## Dirac impulse is "real"

## Dirac impulse

Not just a mathematical artifact!


Drawing: Harry Winfield Secor, public domain


Foto: Ralf Schumacher, CC-BY-SA 3.0
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## Definition

Switch $\rightarrow$ Different DAE models (=modes) depending on time-varying position of switch

## Definition (Switched DAE)

Switching signal $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, N\}$ picks mode at each time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma(t)} \dot{x}(t) & =A_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+B_{\sigma(t)} u(t) \\
y(t) & =C_{\sigma(t)} x(t)+D_{\sigma(t)} u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Attention

Each mode might have different consistency spaces
$\Rightarrow$ inconsistent initial values at each switch
$\Rightarrow$ Dirac impulses, in particular distributional solutions

## Definition

Switch $\rightarrow$ Different DAE models (=modes) depending on time-varying position of switch

## Definition (Switched DAE)

Switching signal $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, N\}$ picks mode at each time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Attention

Each mode might have different consistency spaces
$\Rightarrow$ inconsistent initial values at each switch
$\Rightarrow$ Dirac impulses, in particular distributional solutions

## Distribution theory - basic ideas

## Distributions - overview

- Generalized functions
- Arbitrarily often differentiable
- Dirac-Impulse $\delta$ is "derivative" of Heaviside step function $\mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty)}$

Two different formal approaches
(1) Functional analytical: Dual space of the space of test functions (L. Schwartz 1950)
(2) Axiomatic: Space of all "derivatives" of continuous functions (J. Sebastião e Silva 1954)

## Distributions - formal

## Definition (Test functions)

$\mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}:=\{\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi$ is smooth with compact support $\}$

## Definition (Distributions)

$\mathbb{D}:=\left\{D: \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid D\right.$ is linear and continuous $\}$

## Definition (Regular distributions)

$\left.f \in L_{1, \operatorname{loc}(\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right): \quad f_{\mathbb{D}}: \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varphi \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \varphi(t) \mathrm{d} t \in \mathbb{D}$

## Definition (Derivative)

$D^{\prime}(\varphi):=-D\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)$

## Dirac Impulse at $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\delta_{t_{0}}: \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi\left(t_{0}\right)
$$

## Multiplication with functions

## Definition (Multiplication with smooth functions)

$\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}: \quad(\alpha D)(\varphi):=D(\alpha \varphi)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Coefficients not smooth

Problem: $E_{\sigma}, A_{\sigma}, C_{\sigma} \notin \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$
Observation, for $\sigma_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)} \equiv p_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned} \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}: \begin{aligned}
\left(E_{p_{i}} \dot{x}\right)_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)} & =\left(A_{p_{i}} x+B_{p_{i}} u\right)_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)} \\
y_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)} & \left.=\left(C_{p_{i}} x+D_{p_{i}} u\right)_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

New question: Restriction of distributions

## Desired properties of distributional restriction

Distributional restriction:

$$
\{M \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid M \text { interval }\} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad(M, D) \mapsto D_{M}
$$

and for each interval $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
(1) $D \mapsto D_{M}$ is a projection (linear and idempotent)
(2) $\forall f \in L_{1, \text { loc }}: \quad\left(f_{\mathbb{D}}\right)_{M}=\left(f_{M}\right)_{\mathbb{D}}$
(3) $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}: \quad\left[\begin{array}{cl}\operatorname{supp} \varphi \subseteq M & \Rightarrow \quad D_{M}(\varphi)=D(\varphi) \\ \operatorname{supp} \varphi \cap M=\emptyset & \Rightarrow \quad D_{M}(\varphi)=0\end{array}\right]$
(9) $\left(M_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ pairwise disjoint, $M=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M_{i}$ :

$$
D_{M}=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_{M_{i}}, \quad D_{M_{1} \cup M_{2}=D_{M_{1}}+D_{M_{2}}}, \quad\left(D_{M_{1}}\right)_{M_{2}}=0
$$

## Theorem ([T. 2009])

Such a distributional restriction does not exist.

## Proof of non-existence of restriction

Consider the following (well defined!) distribution:

$$
D:=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} d_{i} \delta_{d_{i}}, \quad d_{i}:=\frac{(-1)^{i}}{i+1}
$$



Restriction should give

$$
D_{[0, \infty)}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} d_{2 k} \delta_{d_{2 k}}
$$

Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}$ such that $\varphi_{[0,1]} \equiv 1$ :

$$
D_{[0, \infty)}(\varphi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} d_{2 k}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2 k+1}=\infty
$$

## Dilemma

Switched DAEs

- Examples: distributional solutions
- Multiplication with non-smooth coefficients
- Or: Restriction on intervals

Distributions

- Distributional restriction not possible
- Multiplication with non-smooth coefficients not possible
- Initial value problems cannot be formulated


## Underlying problem

Space of distributions too big.

## Piecewise smooth distributions

Define a suitable smaller space:

## Definition (Piecewise smooth distributions $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$, [T. 2009])

$$
\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pwC}} \infty:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
f_{\mathbb{D}}+\sum_{t \in T} D_{t} & \begin{array}{l}
f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pw}}^{\infty}, \\
T \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text { locally finite, } \\
\forall t \in T: D_{t}=\sum_{i=0}^{n_{t}} a_{i}^{t} \delta_{t}^{(i)}
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\}
$$



## Properties of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}}{ }^{\infty}$

- $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pw}}^{\infty} " \subseteq " \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$
- $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pwC}}{ }^{\infty} \Rightarrow D^{\prime} \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$
- Well definded restriction $\mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$

$$
D=f_{\mathbb{D}}+\sum_{t \in T} D_{t} \quad \mapsto \quad D_{M}:=\left(f_{M}\right)_{\mathbb{D}}+\sum_{t \in T \cap M} D_{t}
$$

- Multiplication with $\alpha=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{pw}}^{\infty}$ well defined:

$$
\alpha D:=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{i} D_{\left[t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)}
$$

- Evaluation at $t \in \mathbb{R}: D\left(t^{-}\right):=f\left(t^{-}\right), D\left(t^{+}\right):=f\left(t^{+}\right)$
- Impulses at $t \in \mathbb{R}: D[t]:= \begin{cases}D_{t}, & t \in T \\ 0, & t \notin T\end{cases}$


## Application to (swDAE)

$(x, u)$ solves (swDAE) $\quad: \Leftrightarrow \quad(s w D A E)$ holds in $\mathbb{D}_{\text {pw }} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$

## Relevant questions

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Piecewise-smooth distributional solution framework

$x \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}}{ }^{C} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}, u \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}, y \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}}{ }^{p} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$

- Existence and uniqueness of solutions?
- Jumps and impulses in solutions?
- Conditions for impulse free solutions?
- Control theoretical questions
- Stability and stabilization
- Observability and observer design
- Controllability and controller design


## Existence and uniqueness of solutions for (swDAE)

$$
E_{\sigma} \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u
$$

## Basic assumptions

$$
\text { - } \sigma \in \Sigma_{0}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\sigma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, N\} & \begin{array}{l}
\sigma \text { is piecewise constant and } \\
\left.\sigma\right|_{(-\infty, 0)} \text { is constant }
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

- $\left(E_{p}, A_{p}\right)$ is regular $\forall p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, i.e. $\operatorname{det}\left(s E_{p}-A_{p}\right) \not \equiv 0$


## Theorem (T. 2009)

Consider (swDAE) with regular $\left(E_{p}, A_{p}\right)$. Then

$$
\forall u \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}}^{m} \forall \sigma \in \Sigma_{0} \exists \text { solution } x \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}}^{n}
$$

and $x\left(0^{-}\right)$uniquely determines $x$.

## Inconsistent initial values

$$
E \dot{x}=A x+B u, \quad x(0)=x^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

## Inconsistent initial value $=$ special switched DAE

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\dot{x}_{(-\infty, 0)} & =0, & x\left(0^{-}\right)=x^{0} \\
(E \dot{x})_{[0, \infty)} & =(A x+B u)_{[0, \infty)} &
\end{array}
$$

## Corollary (Consistency projector)

Exist unique consistency projector $\Pi_{(E, A)}$ such that

$$
x\left(0^{+}\right)=\Pi_{(E, A)} x^{0}
$$

$\Pi_{(E, A)}$ can easily be calculated via the Wong sequences [T. 2009].

## Sufficient conditions for impulse-freeness

## Question

When are all solutions of homogenous (swDAE) $E_{\sigma} \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} x$ impulse free?
Note: Jumps are OK.

## Lemma (Sufficient conditions)

- $\left(E_{p}, A_{p}\right)$ all have index one (i.e. $(s E-A)^{-1}$ is proper) $\Rightarrow$ (swDAE) impulse free
- all consistency spaces of $\left(E_{p}, A_{p}\right)$ coincide $\Rightarrow$ (swDAE) impulse free


## Characterization of impulse-freeness

## Theorem (Impulse-freeness, [T. 2009])

The switched DAE $E_{\sigma} \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} \times$ is impulse free $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_{0}$

$$
\Leftrightarrow \quad E_{q}\left(I-\Pi_{q}\right) \Pi_{p}=0 \quad \forall p, q \in\{1, \ldots, N\}
$$

where $\Pi_{p}:=\Pi_{\left(E_{p}, A_{p}\right)}, p \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ is the $p$-th consistency projector.

## Remark

- Index-1-case $\Rightarrow \quad E_{q}\left(I-\Pi_{q}\right)=0 \forall q$
- Consistency spaces equal $\Rightarrow\left(I-\Pi_{q}\right) \Pi_{p}=0 \forall p, q$
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## Global Observability of Switched DAEs



## Definition (Global observability)

(swDAE) with given $\sigma$ is (globally) observable : $\Leftrightarrow$
$\forall$ solutions $\left(u_{1}, x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, x_{2}, y_{2}\right): \quad\left(u_{1}, y_{1}\right) \equiv\left(u_{2}, y_{2}\right) \Rightarrow x_{1} \equiv x_{2}$

## Lemma (0-distinguishability)

(swDAE) is observable if, and only if,

$$
y \equiv 0 \text { and } u \equiv 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \equiv 0
$$

Hence consider in the following (swDAE) without inputs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x
\end{aligned}
$$

and observability question:

$$
y \equiv 0 \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} x \equiv 0
$$

## Motivating example

## System 1:

## System 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \dot{x} } & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x \\
y & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y=x_{3}, \dot{y}=\dot{x}_{3}=0, x_{2}=0, \dot{x}_{1}=0
$$

$$
\Rightarrow x_{1} \text { unobservable }
$$

$$
\sigma(\cdot): 1 \rightarrow 2
$$

Jump in $x_{1}$ produces impulse in $y$ $\Rightarrow$ Observability

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x} \\
y=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x \\
y=x_{3}=\dot{x}_{1}, x_{1}=0, \dot{x}_{2}=0 \\
\Rightarrow x_{2} \text { unobservable }
\end{gathered}
$$

Jump in $x_{2}$ no influence in $y$ $\Rightarrow x_{2}$ remains unobservable

## Question

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{p} \dot{x} & =A_{p} x+B_{p} u \quad \text { not } \\
y & =C_{p} x+D_{p} u
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { observable }
\end{aligned} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \quad E_{\sigma} \dot{x}=A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \quad \text { observable }
$$

## The single switch result



## Theorem (Unobservable subspace, Tanwani \& T. 2010)

For (swDAE) with a single switch the following equivalence holds

$$
y \equiv 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \mathcal{M}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}:=\mathfrak{C}_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\text {imp }}
$$

In particular: $\quad(\mathrm{swDAE})$ observable $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}=\{0\}$.

What are these four subspace?

## The four subspaces

Unobservable subspace: $\mathcal{M}:=\mathfrak{C}_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{i m p}$, i.e.

$$
x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \mathcal{M} \Leftrightarrow y_{(-\infty, 0)} \equiv 0 \wedge y[0]=0 \wedge y_{(0, \infty)} \equiv 0
$$

## The four spaces

- Consistency: $x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}_{-}$
- Left unobservability: $y_{(-\infty, 0)} \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} O_{-}$
- Right unobservability: $y_{(0, \infty)} \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-}$
- Impulse unobervability: $y[0]=0 \Leftrightarrow x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\text {imp }}$


## Question

How to calculate these four spaces?

## Wong sequences

## Definition

Let $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. The corresponding Wong sequences of the pair $(E, A)$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{0} & :=\mathbb{R}^{n}, & \mathcal{V}_{i+1}:=A^{-1}\left(E \mathcal{V}_{i}\right), & \\
\mathcal{W}_{0}: & =\{0\}, & \mathcal{W}_{j+1}:=E^{-1} A\left(\mathcal{W}_{j}\right), &
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: $M^{-1} \mathcal{S}:=\{x \mid M x \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and $M \mathcal{S}:=\{M x \mid x \in \mathcal{S}\}$ Clearly, $\exists i^{*}, j^{*} \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{V}_{0} \supset \mathcal{V}_{1} \supset \ldots \supset \mathcal{V}_{i^{*}}=\mathcal{V}_{i^{*}+1}=\mathcal{V}_{i^{*}+2}=\ldots \\
& \mathcal{W}_{0} \subset \mathcal{W}_{1} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{W}_{j^{*}}=\mathcal{W}_{j^{*}+1}=\mathcal{W}_{j^{*}+2}=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Wong limits:

$$
\mathcal{V}^{*}:=\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_{i}=\mathcal{V}_{i^{*}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{W}^{*}=\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{j}=\mathcal{W}_{j^{*}}
$$

## Wong sequences and the QWF

## Theorem (QWF [Berger, Ilchmann \& T. 2012])

The following statements are equivalent for square $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ :
(i) $(E, A)$ is regular
(ii) $\mathcal{V}^{*} \oplus \mathcal{W}^{*}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$
(iii) $E \mathcal{V}^{*} \oplus A \mathcal{W}^{*}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$

In particular, with im $V=\mathcal{V}^{*}$, im $W=\mathcal{W}^{*}$
$(E, A)$ regular $\Rightarrow \quad T:=[V, W]$ and $S:=[E V, A W]^{-1}$ invertible and $S, T$ yield quasi-Weierstrass form (QWF):

$$
(S E T, S A T)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \\
& N
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}
J & 1 \\
& 1
\end{array}\right]\right), N \text { nilpotent }
$$

## Calculation of Wong sequences

## Remark

Wong sequences can easily be calculated with Matlab even when the matrices still contain symbolic entries (like "R", "L", "C").

```
function V=getPreImage(A,S)
% returns a basis of the preimage of A of the linear space spanned by
% the columns of S, i.e. im V = { x | Ax \in im S }
[m1,n1]=size(A); [m2,n2]=size(S);
if m1==m2
    H=null([A,S]);
    V=colspace(H(1:n1,:));
else
    error('Both matrices must have same number of rows');
end;
```


## Consistency space

$$
x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}-} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y \equiv 0
$$

## Corollary from QWF

$$
\mathfrak{C}_{-}=\mathcal{V}_{-}^{*}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{-}^{*}$ is the first Wong limit of $\left(E_{-}, A_{-}\right)$.

## The differential projector

For regular $(E, A)$ let $(S E T, S A T)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & N\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}J & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)$.

## Definition (Differential "projector")

$$
\Pi_{(E, A)}^{\text {diff }}:=T\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] S \quad \text { and } \quad A^{\text {diff }}:=\Pi_{(E, A)}^{\text {diff }} A
$$

Following Implication holds:

$$
x \text { solves } E \dot{x}=A x \quad \Rightarrow \quad \dot{x}=A^{\text {diff }} x
$$

Hence, with $y=C x$,

$$
y \equiv 0 \Rightarrow x(0) \in \operatorname{ker}\left[C / C A^{\text {diff }} / C\left(A^{\text {diff }}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C\left(A^{\text {diff }}\right)^{n-1}\right]
$$

## The spaces $O_{-}$and $O_{+}$



Hence

$$
y_{(-\infty, 0)} \equiv 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \underbrace{\left[C_{-} / C_{-} A_{-}^{\text {diff }} / C_{-}\left(A_{-}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C_{-}\left(A_{-}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{n-1}\right]}_{:=O_{-}}
$$

and

$$
y_{(0, \infty)} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow x\left(0^{+}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \underbrace{\left[C_{+} / C_{+} A_{+}^{\text {diff }} / C_{+}\left(A_{+}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C_{+}\left(A_{+}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{n-1}\right]}_{:=O_{+}}
$$

Question: $\quad x\left(0^{+}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} O_{+} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x\left(0^{-}\right) \in ?$

## Consistency projector and $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{-}$



Assume $\left(S_{+} E_{+} T_{+}, S_{+} A_{+} T_{+}\right)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & N_{+}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}J_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)$ :

## Consistency projector

$$
\Pi_{+}:=T_{+}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] T_{+}^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left(0^{+}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} O_{+} \\
& \qquad \Rightarrow x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \Pi_{+}^{-1} \operatorname{ker} O_{+}=\operatorname{ker} \underbrace{O_{+} \Pi_{+}}_{=: O_{+}^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The impulsive effect

Assume $\left(S_{+} E_{+} T_{+}, S_{+} A_{+} T_{+}\right)=\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & N_{+}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}J_{+} & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)$ :

## Definition (Impulse "projector")

$$
\Pi_{+}^{\text {imp }}:=T_{+}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] S_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad E_{+}^{\text {imp }}:=\Pi_{+}^{\text {imp }} E_{+}
$$

Impulsive part of solution:

$$
x[0]=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(E_{+}^{\text {imp }}\right)^{i+1} x\left(0^{-}\right) \delta_{0}^{(i)}
$$

Dirac impulses
Conclusion:

$$
y[0]=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_{+} x[0]=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}
$$

where

$$
O_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}:=\left[C_{+} E_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}} / C_{+}\left(E_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C_{+}\left(E_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}\right)^{n-1}\right]
$$

## Observability summary

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\stackrel{\left(E_{-}, A_{-}, C_{-}\right)}{t=0} t \\
y \equiv 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x\left(0^{-}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-} \cap \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\operatorname{imp}-}
\end{array}
$$

with

- $\mathfrak{C}_{-}=\mathcal{V}_{-}^{*}$ (first Wong limit)
- $O_{-}=\left[C_{-} / C_{-} A_{-}^{\text {diff }} / C_{-}\left(A_{-}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C_{-}\left(A_{-}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{n-1}\right]$
- $O_{+}^{-}=\left[C_{+} / C_{+} A_{+}^{\text {diff }} / C_{+}\left(A_{+}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C_{+}\left(A_{+}^{\text {diff }}\right)^{n-1}\right] \Pi_{+}$
- $O_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}=\left[C_{+} E_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}} / C_{+}\left(E_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}\right)^{2} / \cdots / C_{+}\left(E_{+}^{\mathrm{imp}}\right)^{n-1}\right]$


## Example revisited

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { System 1: } \\
{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] x} \\
y=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x \\
\sigma(\cdot): 1 \rightarrow 2 \text { gives } \\
\mathfrak{C}_{-}= \\
\operatorname{ker} O_{-}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}\right\} \\
\operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-}= \\
\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \\
\operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\text {imp }}= \\
=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\} \\
\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{M}=\{0\}
\end{gathered}
$$

System 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \dot{x}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x } \\
& y=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] x \\
& \sigma(\cdot): 2 \rightarrow 1 \text { gives } \\
& \mathfrak{C}_{-}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{2}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ker} O_{-}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{-}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \\
& \operatorname{ker} O_{+}^{\text {imp }}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{M}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Overall summary

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\sigma} \dot{x} & =A_{\sigma} x+B_{\sigma} u \\
y & =C_{\sigma} x+D_{\sigma} u
\end{aligned}
$$

## Piecewise-smooth distributional solution framework

$x \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw} \mathcal{C}}^{n}, u \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw}} \mathrm{C}^{\infty}, y \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathrm{pw} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}}^{p}$

- Existence and uniqueness of solutions?
- Jumps and impulses in solutions?
- Conditions for impulse free solutions?
- Control theoretical questions
- Stability $\checkmark$ and stabilization
- Observability $\checkmark$ and observer design $\checkmark$
- Controllability $\checkmark$ and controller design


## Major future challenge

Extension to nonlinear case.

