

Controllability and observability are not dual for switched DAEs

Stephan Trenn

joint work with Ferdinand Küsters (Fraunhofer ITWM)

Technomathematics group, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany

SciCADE 2015, Potsdam

Friday, 18.09.2015, 11:00



Contents



- 1 A counter example
- 2 Adjoint systems for switched DAEs
- 3 Dual systems for switched DAEs
- 4 Observability, Determinability, Controllability, Reachability

Naive dual of a switched DAE



Switched DAE

$$E_\sigma \dot{x} = A_\sigma x + B_\sigma u$$

$$y = C_\sigma x$$

Dual for switched DAE?

$$E_\sigma^\top \dot{p} = A_\sigma^\top p + C_\sigma^\top u_d$$

$$y_d = B_\sigma^\top p$$

Non-switched DAE

$$E \dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$

Classical dual [Cobb '84]

$$E^\top \dot{p} = A^\top p + C^\top u_d$$

$$y_d = B^\top p$$

An example



$$E_\sigma \dot{x} = A_\sigma x + B_\sigma u, \quad y = C_\sigma x$$

on $(-\infty, 1)$:

$$\dot{x}_1 = 0 + 0 \cdot u$$

$$0 = x_2$$

$$y = 0$$

on $[1, 2)$:

$$\dot{x}_1 = 0 + 0 \cdot u$$

$$0 = x_1 - x_2$$

$$y = 0$$

on $[2, \infty)$:

$$\dot{x}_1 = 0 + 0 \cdot u$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = 0$$

$$y = x_2$$

Solution

$$x_1(t) = x_1^0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$x_2(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[1, \infty)}(t) x_1^0$$

$$y(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[2, \infty)}(t) x_1^0$$

⇒ **observable**

$$E_\sigma^\top \dot{p} = A_\sigma^\top p + C_\sigma^\top u_d, \quad y_d = B_\sigma^\top p$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = 0 + 0 \cdot u_d$$

$$0 = p_2$$

$$y_d = 0$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = p_2 + 0 \cdot u_d$$

$$0 = -p_2$$

$$y_d = 0$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = 0$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = u_d$$

$$y_d = 0$$

Solution

$$p_1(t) = p_1^0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$p_2(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[2, \infty)} \int_2^t u_d$$

⇒ **not controllable**

Some remarks concerning duality



- Switched DAEs are special **time-varying DAEs**:

$$\begin{aligned} E(t)\dot{x}(t) &= A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t) \\ y &= C(t)x(t) \end{aligned}$$

whose dual **is not** (c.f. Balla & März '02, Kunkel & Mehrmann '08)

$$\begin{aligned} E(t)^\top \dot{p}(t) &= A(t)^\top p(t) + C(t)^\top u_d(t) \\ y_d &= B(t)^\top x(t) \end{aligned}$$

- For time-varying systems, **adjoint system** and **dual system** have to be distinguished, here:

dual = time-inverted adjoint

Contents



- 1 A counter example
- 2 Adjoint systems for switched DAEs
- 3 Dual systems for switched DAEs
- 4 Observability, Determinability, Controllability, Reachability



Adjointness for linear ODEs

Linear ODE

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$

Adjoint of linear ODE

$$\dot{p} = -A^\top p - C^\top u_a$$

$$y_a = B^\top p$$

Input-State-Output-maps

- Input-map:

$$u(\cdot) \mapsto g(\cdot) := Bu(\cdot)$$

- Input-state-map:

$$(x_0, g(\cdot)) \mapsto (x(T), x(\cdot))$$

$x(\cdot)$ solves $\dot{x} = Ax + g$, $x(0) = x_0$

- State-output-map:

$$x(\cdot) \mapsto y(\cdot) := Cx(\cdot)$$

Adjoint maps

- Adjoint of input-map:

$$p(\cdot) \mapsto y_a(\cdot) := B^\top p(\cdot)$$

- Adjoint of input-state-map:

$$(p_T, h(\cdot)) \mapsto (p(0), p(\cdot))$$

p solves $\dot{p} = -A^\top p - h$, $p(T) = p_T$

- Adjoint of state-output-map:

$$u_a(\cdot) \mapsto h(\cdot) := C^\top u_a(\cdot)$$



Classical adjointness conditions

Behavior: $\mathcal{B}(A, B, C) := \{ (u, x, y) \mid \dot{x} = Ax + Bu, y = Cx \}$

Theorem (van der Schaft '91)

(u_a, p, y_a) solves adjoint system \Leftrightarrow following *adjointness condition* holds

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}(p^\top x) - y_a^\top u + u_a^\top y = 0} \quad \forall (u, x, y) \in \mathcal{B}(A, B, C) \quad (\mathbf{A})$$

In terms of behaviors:

$$\{ (u_a, p, y_a) \mid (\mathbf{A}) \text{ holds} \} = \mathcal{B}(-A^\top, -C^\top, B^\top)$$

$$\mathcal{B}(E(\cdot), A(\cdot)) := \{ x \mid E(\cdot)\dot{x} = A(\cdot)x \}$$

Adjointness condition for $E(t)\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t)$, Balla & März '02

$$\frac{d}{dt}(p^\top E(\cdot)x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{B}(E(\cdot), A(\cdot))$$



Adjointness for switched DAEs

$$\mathcal{B}(A, B, C) := \{ (u, x, y) \mid \dot{x} = Ax + Bu, y = Cx \}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(E, A) := \{ x \mid E\dot{x} = Ax \}$$

Adjointness for $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, y = Cx$

$$\forall (u, x, y) \in \mathcal{B}(A, B, C) :$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(p^\top x) - y_a^\top u + u_a^\top y = 0$$

Adj. for $E(\cdot)\dot{x} = A(\cdot)x$

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{B}(E(\cdot), A(\cdot)) :$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(p^\top E(\cdot)x) = 0,$$

Adjointness condition for switched DAEs and adjoint behavior

With $\mathcal{B}_\sigma := \{ (u, x, y) \mid E_\sigma \dot{x} = A_\sigma x + B_\sigma u, y = C_\sigma x \}$ let **adjointness condition** be:

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}(p^\top E_\sigma x) - y_a^\top u + u_a^\top y = 0 \quad \forall (u, x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma} \quad (\mathbf{A}_\sigma)$$

Furthermore, a behavior $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \{(u_a, p, y_a)\}$ is called **a behavioral adjoint of \mathcal{B}_σ** : \Leftrightarrow

$$(\mathbf{A}_\sigma) \text{ holds } \forall (u, x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma$$

Behavioral adjoint representation



Theorem

Consider

$$\frac{d}{dt}(p^\top E_\sigma) = -p^\top A_\sigma - u_a^\top C_\sigma, \quad (\mathbf{adj})$$

$$y_a^\top = p^\top B_\sigma$$

Then

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma^a := \{ (u_a, p, y_a) \mid (u_a, p, y_a) \text{ satisfies } (\mathbf{adj}) \}$$

is a behavioral adjoint of \mathcal{B}_σ .

Attention

- Switched DAE and **(adj)** are equations in a certain **distribution space**
- In this space only **non-commutative multiplication** is defined, in particular

$$p^\top A_\sigma \neq (A_\sigma^\top p)^\top$$
- **(adj)** is **not causal**
- Piecewise-constant E_σ is differentiated \rightarrow **Dirac impulses** occur in coefficient matrices

Problem: Adjoint is not a switched DAE



Fundamental problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(p^\top E_\sigma) &= -p^\top A_\sigma - u_a^\top C_\sigma, \\ y_a^\top &= p^\top B_\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (\text{adj})$$

is **not a switched DAE**, in particular:

- Solution theory?
- Controllability, observability?

Time-inversion

Problems can be resolved by considering **time-inversion** and recalling

$$\text{dual} = \text{time-inverted adjoint}$$

Contents



- 1 A counter example
- 2 Adjoint systems for switched DAEs
- 3 Dual systems for switched DAEs**
- 4 Observability, Determinability, Controllability, Reachability

Time-inversion and T -dual



Definition (Time inversion for distributions)

For $T \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\mathcal{I}_T : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ denote the **time-inversion at T** on the space of distributions \mathbb{D} , i.e. for all test functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty$ and all distributions $D \in \mathbb{D}$:

$$\mathcal{I}_T(D)(\varphi) := D(\varphi(T - \cdot))$$

Convention: $s = T - t$ and $\tilde{\sigma} := \sigma(T - \cdot)$

Definition (T -dual of switched DAE)

Let \mathcal{B}_σ^a be a behavioral adjoint of switched DAE. The **T -dual behavior** of the switched DAE is

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma^{T\text{-dual}} := \{ (u_d, z, y_d) \mid (u_a, p, y_a) = (\mathcal{I}_T(u_d), \mathcal{I}_T(z), \mathcal{I}_T(y_d)) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma^a \}$$

Question

Representable as switched DAE?

Theorem (Switched DAE representation of T -dual)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds}(E_{\sigma}^{\top} z) &= A_{\sigma}^{\top} z + C_{\sigma}^{\top} u_d \\ y_d &= B_{\sigma}^{\top} y \end{aligned} \quad \text{(dual)}$$

is a T -dual of switched DAE.

Almost a switched DAE:

$$\text{(dual)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} E_{\sigma}^{\top} \dot{z} &= A_{\sigma}^{\top} z + C_{\sigma}^{\top} u_d - \left(\frac{d}{ds} E_{\sigma}^{\top}\right) z \\ y_d &= B_{\sigma}^{\top} y \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{d}{ds} E_{\sigma}^{\top} = \sum_i (E_{i-1} - E_i)^{\top} \delta_{T-t_i}$$

\Rightarrow **New system class:** Switched DAEs with **impacts**
(c.f. T. & Willems '12)



Switched DAEs with impacts and their dual

Sw. DAEs with impacts

$$E_{\sigma} \dot{x} = A_{\sigma} x + B_{\sigma} u + G[\cdot] x$$

$$y = C_{\sigma} x$$

Dual (via time-inversion of adjoint)

$$E_{\sigma}^{\top} \dot{z} = A_{\sigma}^{\top} z + C_{\sigma}^{\top} u_d + (\mathcal{I}_T(G[\cdot]))^{\top} - \frac{d}{ds} E_{\sigma}^{\top} z$$

$$y = B_{\sigma}^{\top} x$$

where, for the switching times t_i of σ , $G[\cdot] := \sum_i G_{t_i} \delta_{t_i}$

Theorem (Dual of dual)

If σ is constant outside of $(0, T)$, then the *T-dual of the T-dual* is the original switched DAE with impacts.

Crucial ingredients

- Suitable adjointness condition
- Time-inversion
- Extension of system class: Switched DAEs with impacts

Contents



- 1 A counter example
- 2 Adjoint systems for switched DAEs
- 3 Dual systems for switched DAEs
- 4 Observability, Determinability, Controllability, Reachability



Observability and Determinability

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma \dot{x} &= A_\sigma x + B_\sigma u + G[\cdot]x \\ y &= C_\sigma x \end{aligned} \quad (\text{swDAE+i})$$

Definition (Observability)

(swDAE+i) is called **observable** on $[0, T]$ \Leftrightarrow the following implication holds for all solutions

$$u = 0 \wedge y_{[0, T]} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x = 0$$

Definition (Determinability)

(swDAE+i) is called **determinable** on $[0, T]$ \Leftrightarrow the following implication holds for all solutions

$$u = 0 \wedge y_{[0, T]} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{(T, \infty)} = 0$$

Obviously, observability \Rightarrow determinability

But the converse is **not** true in general



Controllability and Reachability

$$\mathcal{B}_\sigma := \{ (u, x, y) \mid E_\sigma \dot{x} = A_\sigma x + B_\sigma u + G[\cdot]x, y = C_\sigma x \}$$

Definition (Controllability)

(swDAE+i) is called **controllable** on $[0, T] \Leftrightarrow$

$$\forall w = (u, x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma \exists \tilde{w} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma :$$

$$w_{(-\infty, 0)} = \tilde{w}_{(-\infty, 0)} \wedge \tilde{w}_{(T, \infty)} = 0$$

Definition (Reachability)

(swDAE+i) is called **reachable** on $[0, T] \Leftrightarrow$

$$\forall w = (u, x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_{\sigma(T^+)} \exists \tilde{w} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathcal{B}_\sigma :$$

$$\tilde{w}_{(-\infty, 0)} = 0 \wedge \tilde{w}_{(T, \infty)} = w_{(T, \infty)}$$

Easily seen: reachability \Rightarrow controllability

But the converse is **not** true in general

Main Duality result



Theorem

For switched DAE with impacts it holds that



Proof is based on some recent observability/determinability (Tanwani & T. '12) and controllability/reachability characterizations (Ruppert, Küsters & T. '15) for switched DAEs